

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,395	02/11/2004	James Warren Rudolph	4865-185	3918
7	590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Helen A. Odar			GIBSON, RANDY W	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL		2841		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

//EX
w
u.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/776,395	RUDOLPH, JAMES WARREN				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Randy W. Gibson	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Fe	bruary 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 15-18 is/are pending in the appl	ication.	:				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		:				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ <b>.</b>					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		i				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		:				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
		· ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/776,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Res Judicata

1. Claim 1 is rejected under the legal doctrine of issue preclusion since this claim is a *verbatim* copy of claim 1 contained in the Appendix of the Appeal Brief, filed on 22 August 2002, of application number 09/178,399. This claim had been finally rejected on 23 January 2002, the rejection was upheld by a decision of the Board of Appeals & Inferences on 23 December 2003, and there is no opportunity for further court review of the earlier decision since time for appeal of the parent case has expired. See *Edgerton v. Kingland*, 168 F. 2d 121, 75 USPQ 307 (D.C. Cir. 1947); and, *MPEP* § 706.03(w).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golecki et al in view of Yoshida et al, Yano et al, Spoor, Piroozmandi, and Swartzendruber. Golecki et al discloses, in one embodiment, weighing parts in a furnace during a Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) or Chemical Vapor Infiltration (CVI) process and using the corresponding weight signal to vary process parameters, such as internal furnace pressure, reactant gas flow rate (I.E.: mass flow rate), and/or power to

Application/Control Number: 10/776,395

Art Unit: 2841

the heating coil (Col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 22), in real time (Col. 7, lines 42-52; Col. 8, lines 52-61). In the device of Golecki et al, however, the weighing device 13 is not situated such that it can weight the entire furnace including the contents as claimed; by contrast, the weighing device of Golecki et al is located inside a chamber 19 adjacent to the main furnace chamber 1 where it only weighs the substrates 4 and the substrate supporting mandrel assembly 3.

However, Golecki et al disclose that this embodiment has some problems since they disclose that they need to inject inert gas into the weighing housing 19 to prevent vapor deposit build-up on the electronic weighing device itself, and they disclose that the weighing chamber itself needs to be held at a constant temperature to insure weighing accuracy. (Col. 8, lines 1-10). It is known in the weighing art that electronic load sensors loose accuracy when exposed to fluctuating temperatures as shown by the examples of Yano et al (Col. 1, lines 39-48) and Spoor (Col. 1, line 20 to col. 2, line 28). Since heat rises, and since the weighing chamber of Golecki et al is attached to the top of, and is in gaseous communication with (since the aforementioned paragraph at the top of column 8 implies that vapor from the furnace moves in and out of the weighing chamber), the main chamber of the CVI/CVD furnace, there is a problem with the design of the weighing device of Golecki et al that would be immediately obvious to the ordinary practioner in the weighing art -- namely, the loss of accuracy caused by vapor deposit build-up on the load cells and temperature induced variations in the accuracy of the electronic load cells as the furnace begins to heat up. Yoshida et al shows that one known solution to the problem of temperature related changes in the accuracy of

Application/Control Number: 10/776,395 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

electronic load cells when weighing items in a furnace is to relocate the load cells under the furnace (since heat rises) and to insulate it from the heated chamber (Col. 3, lines 54-59). Presumably, the same effect could be achieved by placing the load cell under the furnace itself which would have the additional advantage of isolating the load cells from the vapor inside the furnace chamber (thus solving the problem of deposit build-up mentioned by Golecki et al).

It is well known in the weighing art that a relatively fast and inexpensive way to retrofit a large vessel-like device, like the CVI/CVD chamber 1 of Golecki et al, to enable it to weigh its contents, is to simply place load cells under the supporting legs of the vessel as shown by the examples of Piroozmandi (Col. 2, line 30 to col. 3, line 54) and Swartzendruber (Col. 2, lines 50-58). It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to modify an existing CVI/CVD furnace chamber to include load cells under it, using the method suggested by Piroozmandi or Swartzendruber, for the purpose of monitoring weight change in the contents of the chamber and adjusting process parameters accordingly as taught by Golecki et al.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,395 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841